*2842-13032 BC: 22313145050

6055945425506

RECEIVED

OCT 18 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

USPTO MAIL CENTER DECEIVED IN THE CEIVED

RETURN TO SENDER OLYMPIED NOT KNOWN UNABLE TO FORWARD

Ш

NIXIN

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 STANTS POND 0004244945

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Organization _

P.O. Box 1450

>

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Prigate Use, \$300

Official Business

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Chicago, IL 60647

RECEIVED

MAILED

SEP 22 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 6,597,281

Issued: July 22, 2003

Application No. 09/675,177

Filed: September 29, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 99-1833

ON PETITION

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b), filed August 1, 2011, to accept the unavoidably delayed payment of the maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The patent issued on July 22, 2003. The patent expired on July 23, 2011, for failure to timely remit the second maintenance fee. On August 1, 2011, petitioner, Gerald L. Thomas, filed the present petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b) accompanied by the \$1,240.00 maintenance fee due at 7.5 years and the \$700.00 surcharge after expiration where late payment is unavoidable.

In the present petition, petitioner explained: "I had my years wrong, I thought I had until 1/22/12; I was checking my patent just days after it had expired: 7/22/11 and realized it was this year. I'm hoping to continue to mark my idea (patent) #6,597,281." Petition, 08/01/11, p. 4.

A grantable petition to accept a delayed maintenance fee payment under 37 CFR 1.378(b) must include the following:

- (1) the required maintenance fee set forth in § 1.20(e) through (g);
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.20(i)(1); and
- (3) a showing that the delay was unavoidable since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the

Petitioner submitted \$1,280.00 for the payment of the maintenance fee due at 7.5 years. The Office notes that as of the mailing date of this decision, the small entity maintenance fee due at 7.5 years is \$1,240.00. Therefore, petitioner overpaid in the amount of \$40.00. The overpayment of \$40.00 will be refunded to petitioner by treasury check in due course.

expiration of the patent. The showing must enumerate the steps taken to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, the date and the manner in which patentee became aware of the expiration of the patent, and the steps taken to file the petition promptly.

This petition lacks requirement (3).

As 35 U.S.C. 41(c) requires the payment of fees at specified intervals to maintain a patent in force, rather than some response to a specific action by the Office under 35 U.S.C. 133, a reasonably prudent person in the exercise of due care and diligence would have taken steps to ensure the timely payment of such maintenance fees. Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d 606, 609, 34 USPQ2d 1786, 1788 (Fed. Cir. 1995). That is, an adequate showing that the delay in payment of the maintenance fee at issue was "unavoidable" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b)(3) requires a showing of the steps taken to ensure the timely payment of the maintenance fee. Id. Thus, where the record fails to disclose that the patentee took reasonable steps, or discloses that the patentee took no steps, to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, 35 U.S.C. 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b)(3) preclude acceptance of the delayed payment of the maintenance fee under 37 CFR 1.378(b).

Acceptance of a late maintenance fee under the unavoidable delay standard is considered under the same standard for reviving an abandoned application under 35 U.S.C. 133. This is a very stringent standard. Decisions on reviving abandoned applications on the basis of "unavoidable" delay have adopted the reasonably prudent person standard in determining if the delay was unavoidable:

The word 'unavoidable' . . . is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business. It permits them in the exercise of this care to rely upon the ordinary and trustworthy agencies of mail and telegraph, worthy and reliable employees, and such other means and instrumentalities as are usually employed in such important business. If unexpectedly, or through the unforeseen fault or imperfection of these agencies and instrumentalities, there occurs a failure, it may properly be said to be unavoidable, all other conditions of promptness in its rectification being present.

In re Mattullath, 38 App. D.C. 497, 514-15 (1912) (quoting Pratt, 1887 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 31, 32-33 (1887)); see also Winkler v. Ladd, 221 F. Supp. 550, 552, 138 USPQ 666, 667-68 (D.D.C. 1963), aff'd, 143 USPQ 172 (D.C. Cir. 1963); Ex parte Henrich, 1913 Dec. Comm'r Pat. 139, 141 (1913). In addition, decisions on revival are made on a "case-by-case basis, taking all the facts and circumstances into account." Smith v. Mossinghoff, 671 F.2d 533, 538, 213 USPQ 977, 982 (D.C. Cir. 1982). Finally, a petition cannot be granted where a petitioner has failed to meet his or her burden of establishing that the delay was "unavoidable." Haines v. Quigg, 673 F. Supp. 314, 316-17, 5 USPQ2d 1130, 1131-32 (N.D. Ind. 1987). Moreover, patentee's lack of knowledge of the need to pay the maintenance fee and the failure to receive the Maintenance Fee

Reminder do not constitute unavoidable delay. See Patent No. 4,409,763, 7 USPQ2d 1798 (Comm'r Pat. 1988).

In determining whether the delay in paying a maintenance fee was unavoidable, one looks to whether the party responsible for payment of the maintenance fee exercised the due care of a reasonably prudent person. Ray v. Lehman, 55 F.3d 606, 608-609, 34 USPQ2d 1786, 1787 (Fed. Cir. 1995). The patent owner at the time of the expiration of the patent is ultimately the person responsible to ensure the timely payment of the maintenance fees. The patent owner may engage another to track and/or pay the maintenance fees; however, merely engaging another does not relieve the patent owner from his obligation to take appropriate steps to ensure the timely payment of such maintenance fees. See California Medical Prods. v. Tecnol Medical Prods., 921 F. Supp. 1219 (D. Del. 1995).

In this instance, petitioner was the patent owner at the time of the expiration of the patent, and therefore, he alone had an obligation to ensure the timely payment of the maintenance fee. The petition is completely silent as to the steps or tracking system that petitioner may have had in place to ensure that the maintenance fee was paid in a timely manner and how that system failed to alert petitioner of the due date for the second maintenance fee. Likewise, without documentary evidence that petitioner undertook calendaring or tracking of the maintenance fee due dates, petitioner has not shown unavoidable delay.

The required showing must set forth the steps taken to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, the date and the manner in which petitioner became aware of the expiration of the patent, and the steps taken to file the petition promptly. MPEP 2590. Furthermore, an adequate showing requires a statement by all persons with direct knowledge of the cause of the delay, setting forth the facts as they know them. <u>Id</u>. Copies of all <u>documentary evidence</u> referred to in a statement should be furnished as exhibits to the statement. Thus, where a patentee fails to show that he took reasonable steps, or discloses that he took no steps to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, 35 U.S.C. 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(b)(3) preclude acceptance of the delayed payment of the maintenance fee under 37 CFR 1.378(b).

In summary, the record fails to disclose that petitioner had a reasonably reliable system in place to track the maintenance fee due dates to ensure the timely payment of the second maintenance fee. Accordingly, the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b) is **dismissed**.

Petitioner should note that if this petition under 37 CFR 1.378(b)/(e) is not renewed, or if renewed and not granted, petitioner may obtain a refund of the \$1,240.00 maintenance fee and the \$700.00 post-expiration surcharge. The \$400.00 petition fee for seeking further reconsideration is not refundable.

Any request for refund should be in writing to the following address:

Mail Stop 16 Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A copy of this decision should accompany petitioner's request.

In the alternative, petitioner may wish to file a petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c), requesting that the Office accept the <u>unintentionally</u> delayed payment of the second maintenance fee. A petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c) must be filed within twenty four months from the end of the six month grace period (i.e. on or before July 22, 2013) and be accompanied by (1) a verified statement that the delay was unintentional, (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, **unless previously submitted**, and (3) payment of the \$1,640.00 surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2).

Petitioner may request that the \$700.00 surcharge previously paid be credited toward the \$1,640.00 unintentional surcharge. Petitioner would be required to pay the difference of \$940.00. A copy of the form for a Petition to Accept the Unintentionally Delayed Payment of Maintenance Fee in an Expired Patent (37 CFR 1.378(c)) (Form PTO/SB/66) accompanies this decision for petitioner's convenience.

The Office notes that the address indicated on the petition differs from the address of record. As a one-time courtesy the Office will mail this decision to the address listed in the petition. However, if petitioner wishes to receive future correspondence concerning this patent, petitioner should submit a change of correspondence address. The appropriate form (Form PTO/SB/123) accompanies this decision for petitioner's convenience.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Services Window

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Correspondence may also be submitted electronically via EFS-Web.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

C. T. Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Enclosures: Forms PTO/SB/ 66, PTO/SB/123

Cc: Gerald L. Thomas 5906 North Sheridan Rd. #3D Chicago IL 60660 Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

TITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED PAYMENT OF INTENANCE FEE IN AN EXPIRED PATENT (37 CFR 1.378 (c))				
Mail to: Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Fax: (571) 273-8300				
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in	n completing this form, please contact Petitions	Information at (571) 272-32		
Patent No.	Application Number			
Issue Date	Filing Date			
) and (2) the application number of the actual Lance of that patent to ensure the fee(s) is/are a	J.S. application (or		
Also complete the following information, if a	pplicable			
The above – identified patent				
	No original issu	e date		
resulted from the entry into th	e U.S. under 35 U.S.C. 371 of international ap	plication		
CERTIFIC	ATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.89(a))			
I hereby certify that this paper (*along with any pap United States Postal Service on the date shown be Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date show	elow with sufficient postage as first class main i Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or fac	n an envelope addressed to		
	•			
Date	Signature			
	Typed or Printed Name of Person	0.45		

[page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.378(c). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

1. SMALL ENTI	TY					
Patentee claims, or has previously claimed, small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.						
2. LOSS OF ENTITLEMENT TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS						
Patentee is no longer entitled to small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)						
3. MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CFR 1.20(e)-(g))						
The appropriate	maintenance fee must	be submitted with	this petition, unless it w	/as paid earlier.		
	NOT Small Entity			Small Entity		7
Amount	Fee	(Code)	Amount	Fee	(Code)	
\$	3 ½ yr fee	(1551)	\$	3 ½ yr fee	(2551)	
\$	7 ½ yr fee	(1552)	\$	7 ½ yr fee	(2552)	
\$	11 ½ yr fee	(1553)	\$	11 ½ yr fee	(2553)	
	MAINTENANCE FEE BEING SUBMITTED \$					
4. SURCHARGE	:					
		TED 1 20(3)(2) of d		/F 0 1 1550		
condition	n of accepting unintenti	onally delayed pa	yment of a maintenance	(Fee Code 1558) must e fee.	be paid as a	
SURCHARGE FEE BEING SUBMITTED \$						
5. MANNER OF PAYMENT						
Enclosed is a check for the sum of \$						
Please charge Deposit Account No the sum of \$						
Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.						
6. AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ANY FEE DEFICIENCY						
The Director is hereby authorized to charge any maintenance fee, surcharge or petition deficiency to Deposit Account No.						

PTO/SB/66 (03-09)

Approved for use through 03/31/2012. OMB 0651-0016

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

	7. OVERPAYMENT						
		As to any overpayment made please					
			Credit to Deposit Account No.				
	OR						
			Send refund check				
Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is							
request i abandon (see 37 (in compliance in compliance in compliance in complice in complex in co	record of liance with lication ma 4). Check	r a patent application is available to th h 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the app ay also be available to the public if the	be public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication plication or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an e application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent is PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the			
	8. STA	TEMENT					
•		The del	lay in payment of the maintenance fee	e to this patent was unintentional.			
	9. PETI	EN! REI	INSTATED	PAYMENT OF THE MAINTENANCE FEE BE ACCEPTED AND THE			
			Signature(s) of Petitioner(s)	Date			
			Typed or printed name(s)	Registration Number, if applicable			
			Telephone Number				
	<u></u>		,				
				Address			
				Address			
		practice	Defore the Patent and Trademark Of	this section must be signed by an attorney or agent registered to fice, or by the patentee, the assignee, or other party in interest."			
	ENCLO	DSURES					
			Maintenance Fee Payment				
	Surcharge under 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2) (fee for filing the maintenance fee petition)						

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

PTO/SB/123 (11-08)

Approved for use through 11/30/2011, OMB 0651-0035 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Patent Number **CHANGE OF** Issue Date CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS Application Number Patent Filing Date Address to: Mail Stop Post Issue First Named Inventor Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Attorney Docket Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Number Please change the Correspondence Address for the above-identified patent to: The address associated with Customer Number: OR Firm or Individual Name Address City State ZIP Country Telephone **Email** This form cannot be used to change the data associated with a Customer Number. To change the data associated with an existing Customer Number use "Request for Customer Number Data Change" (PTO/SB/124). This form will not affect any "fee address" provided for the above-identified patent. To change a "fee address" use the "Fee Address Indication Form" (PTO/SB/47). I am the: Patentee. Assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96). Attorney or agent of record. Registration Number _ Signature Typed or **Printed Name**

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 3 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Post Issue, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms

Telephone

Date

*Total of

if more than one signature is required, see below*.

forms are submitted.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of
 presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to
 opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

GERALD L. THOMAS 5906 NORTH SHERIDAN ROAD, #3D CHICAGO, ILL 60660